				Annual A	mounts Based or	n BCM2		
NECA Code	Company Name	BCM2 Lines	Actual Local Revenue	BCM2 Local Cost	BCM2 Support   Required*	Actual Support Required (Att 2)	Support Difference	
(A)	(B)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G=E-F)	
			Ut	ah				
502275	NAVAJO COMM CO INC	1,584	200,976	2,231,545	2,030,569	213,753	1,816,816	
502277	CENTRAL UTAH TEL INC	1,076	318,060	1,469,971	1,151,911	415,165	736,747	
502278	EMERY TELEPHONE	4,361	907,140	3,458,826	2,551,686	1,187,887	1,363,799	
502279	GUNNISON TEL CO	1,231	396,487	898,952	502,465	119,441	383,024	
502282	MANTI TEL CO	1,710	373,036	1,155,142	782,106	112,376	669,730	
502283	SKYLINE TELECOM	854	190,739	595,945	405,206	81,390	323,816	
502284	BEEHIVE TEL CO	1,176	119,904	2,344,674	2,224,770	1,361,606	863,164	
502286	S CEN UTAH TEL ASSN	4,996	954,384	5,364,669	4,410,285	2,413,089	1,997,196	
502287	UINTAH BASIN TEL	3,418	522,609	4,619,279	4,096,670	1,825,100	2,271,570	
503032	BEAR LAKE COMM INC	130	172,603	245,679	73,076	0	73,076	
504429	CITIZENS TELECOM UT	20,672	4,515,392	17,018,228	12,502,836	7,409,206	5,093,630	
505107	US WEST MTN BELL	903,053	240,964,410	312,361,892	71,721,233	99,377,885	(27,656,652	
	State Total	944,261	249,635,740	351,764,802	102,452,813	114,516,899	(12,064,086	

<sup>\*</sup> Support is developed on a wire center specific basis, and may not reflect differences between study area costs and revenues.

		Annual Amounts Based on BCM2							
NECA	Company	BCM2	Actual Local	BCM2 Local	BCM2 Support	Actual Support	Support		
Code	Name	Lines	Revenue	Cost	Required*	Required (Att 2)	Difference		
(A)	(B)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G=E-F)		
			Vir	ginia					
190217	AMELIA TEL CORP	3,526	1,098,480	2,282,828	1,184,348	921,262	263,08		
190219	BUGGS IS TEL COOP	2,197	596,564	1,636,245	1,039,681	924,832	114,84		
190220	BURKES GRDN TEL CO	1,139	35,959	1,085,310	1,049,351	58,909	990,44		
190225	CITIZENS TEL COOP	6,521	1,429,464	4,738,921	3,309,457	1,973,253	1,336,20		
190226	CLIFTON FORGE-WAYNES	37,868	9,690,169	13,860,870	4,170,701	2,919,146	1,251,55		
190233	CONTEL VA DBA GTE VA	519,503	133,796,450	224,078,384	90,281,934	81,714,531	8,567,40		
190236	N RIVER TEL COOP	2,834	116,871	1,503,540	1,386,669	226,178	1,160,49		
190237	HIGHLAND TEL COOP	1,209	361,466	1,247,419	885,953	274,797	611,15		
190238	MGW TELEPHONE CO	1,892	400,239	1,948,383	1,548,144	423,129	1,125,01		
190239	NEW HOPE TEL CO	1,632	253,902	1,112,691	858,789	76,487	782,30		
190243	PEMBROKE TEL COOP	3,932	482,868	2,883,933	2,401,065	448,529	1,952,53		
190244	PEOPLES MUTUAL TEL	11,820	1,515,770	6,437,187	4,921,417	1,041,100	3,880,31		
190248	SCOTT CO TEL COOP	13,445	1,117,524	8,055,777	6,938,253	1,314,003	5,624,25		
190249	ROANOKE & BOTETOURT	7,395	2,277,273	4,380,448	2,103,175	1,533,020	570,15		
190250	SHENANDOAH TEL CO	25,427	4,673,460	13,375,941	8,702,481	2,632,728	6,069,75		
190253	VIRGINIA TEL CO	2,562	579,096	1,290,196	711,100	174,452	536,64		
190254	CENTRAL TEL OF VA	318,006	74,570,435	138,998,816	64,428,381	43,853,393	20,574,98		
190479	GTE SOUTH INC - VA	42,123	10,507,520	22,317,847	11,810,327	8,703,557	3,106,77		
190567	UNITED INTER-MTN TEL	115,177	25,380,875	57,158,805	31,777,930	15,036,480	16,741,45		
193029	NEWCASTLE TEL CO	2,305	405,854	1,787,000	1,381,146	659,472	721,67		
19 <b>50</b> 40	BELL ATLANTIC VA INC	3,179,654	1,022,838,740	1,031,141,346	110,633,760	263,409,557	(152,775,79)		
	State Total	4,300,166	1,292,128,978	1,541,321,887	351,524,063	428,318,816	(76,794,752		

<sup>\*</sup> Support is developed on a wire center specific basis, and may not reflect differences between study area costs and revenues.

-		Annual Amounts Based on BCM2							
NECA Code	Company Name	BCM2 Lines	Actual Local Revenue	BCM2 Local Cost	BCM2 Support Required*	Actual Support Required (Att 2)	Support Difference		
(A)	(B)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G=E-F)		
			Verr	mont					
140053	FRANKLIN TEL CO	1,953	176,668	1,146,632	969,964	85,214	884,749		
140058	LUDLOW TEL CO	2,801	938,382	1,823,240	884,858	477,235	407,624		
140061	NORTHFIELD TEL CO	3,193	707,340	1,932,275	1,224,935	610,439	614,496		
140062	PERKINSVILLE SVC COR	809	188,424	595,639	407,215	186,735	220,480		
140064	SHOREHAM TEL CO	2,826	983,400	2,261,138	1,277,737	527,911	749,826		
140068	TOPSHAM TEL CO	986	213,667	735,098	521,431	307,164	214,267		
140069	WAITSFLD-FAYS TEL CO	1,813	1,391,768	1,275,113	0	2,395,937	(2,395,937		
145115	NYNEX NEW ENGLAND	311,967	105,232,580	153,632,515	52,889,611	61,408,930	(8,519,319		
	State Total	326,348	109,832,229	163,401,650	58,175,751	65,999,566	(7,823,814		

<sup>\*</sup> Support is developed on a wire center specific basis, and may not reflect differences between study area costs and revenues.

		Annual Amounts Based on BCM2							
NECA	Company	BCM2	Actual Local	BCM2 Local	BCM2 Support	Actual Support	Support		
Code	Name	Lines	Revenue	Cost	Required*	Required (Att 2)	Difference		
(A)	(B)	(B)	(C)	C) (D)	(E)	(F)	(G=E-F)		
			Wash	ington					
522400	UNITED TEL CO OF NW	72,228	17,098,962	38,383,966	21,285,004	14,512,913	6,772,09		
522404	ASOTIN TEL CO	11,719	372,903	6,174,232	5,801,329	431,490	5,369,83		
522408	TEL UTILITIES OF WA	137,825	29,883,199	84,329,609	54,446,410	33,129,484	21,316,92		
22410	COWICHE TEL CO	3,164	504,147	2,212,013	1,707,866	535,424	1,172,44		
22412	ELLENSBURG TEL CO	19,853	4,122,060	9,852,452	5,730,392	3,476,117	2,254,2		
22416	GTE NORTHWEST INC-WA	661,228	197,527,530	232,296,952	41,234,736	115,793,276	(74,558,5		
22417	HAT ISLAND TEL CO	2,303	24,702	1,132,462	1,107,760	42,360	1,065,3		
22419	HOOD CANAL TEL CO	1,827	205,786	1,482,118	1,276,332	489,767	786,5		
22423	INLAND TEL CO - ID	2,633	769,363	2,672,222	1,902,860	1,298,280	604,5		
22426	KALAMA TEL CO	3,051	615,368	1,732,918	1,117,550	731,596	385,9		
522427	LEWIS RIVER TEL CO	4,596	1,253,082	3,274,038	2,020,956	1,614,592	406,3		
22430	MCDANIEL TEL CO INC	4,119	949,318	3,189,640	2,240,322	285,980	1,954,3		
22431	MASHELL TELECOM INC	5,556	557,858	3,952,645	3,394,787	1,127,269	2,267,5		
22437	PIONEER TEL CO	851	167,076	1,023,175	856,099	782,768	73,3		
22442	ST JOHN TEL CO	774	183,729	575,577	391,848	327,705	64,1		
22446	TENINO TEL CO	4,783	552,877	2,906,432	2,353,554	1,094,669	1,258,8		
22447	TOLEDO TEL CO INC	1,395	416,865	1,371,608	954,743	578,923	375,8		
22449	CONTEL CO OF THE NW	85,037	23,360,200	46,685,974	23,325,774	21,956,823	1,368,9		
22451	W WAHKIAKUM CNTY TEL	1,601	266,452	1,681,827	1,415,375	1,190,381	224,9		
22452	WHIDBEY TEL CO	6,636	2,988,662	3,389,502	424,584	6,550,105	(6,125,5		
22453	YELM TEL CO	9,188	1,674,746	5,102,653	3,427,907	2,574,908	852,9		
25161	US WEST PNW BELL	2,236,671	628,457,060	702,261,654	97, <b>959</b> ,413	270,803,537	(172,844,1		
	State Total	3,277,038	911,951,944	1,155,683,668	274,375,600	479,328,369	(204,952,70		

<sup>\*</sup> Support is developed on a wire center specific basis, and may not reflect differences between study area costs and revenues.

		Annual Amounts Based on BCM2							
NECA Code	Company Name	BCM2 Lines	Actual Local Revenue	BCM2 Local Cost	BCM2 Support Required*	Actual Support Required (Att 2)	Support Difference		
(A)	(B)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G=E-F)		
			Wisc	onsin					
330841	CENCOM WI DBA PTI CM	21,796	6,185,703	14,936,896	8,751,193	4,004,476	4,746,71		
330842	AMERY TEL CO	4,702	1,027,884	2,684,177	1,656,294	525,895	1,130,39		
330843	AMHERST TEL CO	6,355	739,811	5,457,703	4,717,891	920,550	3,797,34		
330844	BADGER TELECOM INC	6,353	1,638,488	4,167,128	2,528,640	1,307,219	1,221,42		
330846	BALDWIN TELCOM INC	2,981	615,638	1,263,594	647,956	742,244	(94,28		
330847	BELMONT TEL CO	1,001	148,521	646,351	497,831	173,930	323,90		
330848	BERGEN TEL CO-WI	215	52,531	186,121	133,590	98,039	35,55		
330849 330850	BLACK EARTH TEL CO BLOOMER TEL CO	1,198 3,430	336,721 424,762	650,237 1,627,196	313,516 1,202,434	307,252 351,849	6,26 850,58		
330855	BRUCE TEL CO INC	577	276,454	347,378	70,923	443,208	(372,28		
330856	BURL BRI & WHE TEL	3,098	660,751	1,834,364	1,173,613	725,422	448,19		
330857	CASCO TEL CO	441	314,784	362,929	48,145	326,232	(278,08		
330858	FRONTIER CM LAKESH	1,389	398,741	969,892	571,151	200,062	371,08		
330859	CENTRAL STATE TEL CO	7,484	2,425,937	5,817,059	3,391,122	1,544,615	1,846,50		
330860	CHEQUAMEGON TEL COOP	7,022	1,246,180	7,447,509	6,201,329	2,643,468	3,557,86		
330861	CHIBARDUN TEL COOP	5,364	1,049,467	3,652,467	2,603,000	1,429,821	1,173,179		
330863 330865	CITIZENS TEL COOP I CLEAR LAKE TEL CO I	2,599 1,242	327,548 244,690	2,061,355 766,056	1,733,806 521,365	386,970 312,606	1,346,830 208,760		
330866	COCHRANE COOP TEL CO	1,242	205,299	892,500	687,201	309,680	377,52		
330868	COON VLY FARMERS TEL	2,860	307,628	2,033,251	1,725,622	454,008	1,271,61		
330870	CRANDON TEL CO	2,583	67 <b>6</b> ,515	2,238,876	1,562,361	1,033,394	528,967		
330872	CUBA CTY TEL EX CO I	1,719	333,811	802,367	468,556	315,503	153,05		
330875	DICKEYVILLE TEL CORP	1,314	280,953	860,583	579,630	801,817	(222,187		
330877	FAIRWTR-BRAN-ALT TEL	1,492	296,916	1,015,743	718,828	321,130	397,698		
330879	FARMERS IND TEL CO	2,917	403,625	1,900,202	1,496,577	375,479	1,121,098		
330880 330881	FARMERS TEL CO MID-PLAINS TEL INC	6,742 34,127	1,0 <b>87</b> ,976 7,639,568	3,630,548 11,609,130	2,542,573 3,969,562	650,685	1,891,888		
330884	FORESTVILLE TEL CO	2,740	374,950	2,044,785	1,669,836	2,301,406 870,351	1,668,156 799,489		
330886	GTE OF WI	479,102	121,335,060	246,246,623	124,911,563	77,851,182	47,060,38		
330889	HAGER CITY TEL CO	2,472	578,921	1,625,078	1,046,157	383,552	662,605		
330891	HEADWATERS TEL CO	4,236	956,800	3,469,785	2,512,985	865,315	1,647,670		
330892	HILLSBORO TEL CO INC	1,350	373,507	883,830	510,323	434,258	76,065		
330895	CENTURY TEL OF WIT	61,706	14,093,980	18,301,524	4,207,544	5,160,566	(953,022		
330896	LAKEFIELD TEL CO	1,525	396,377	938,068	541,690	339,470	202,220		
330898 330899	CENT LARSEN READFLD LA VALLE TEL COOP	3,984	451,573 336,163	2,385,417	1,933,844	916,348	1,017,497		
330 <del>9</del> 90	LEMONWEIR VLY TEL CO	1,452 2,288	553,285	1,077,940 1,527,845	741,777 974,560	476,164 518,058	265,613 456,502		
330902	LUCK TEL CO	2,153	364,606	1,539,855	1,175,249	429,056	746,193		
330905	MANAWA TEL CO INC	2,493	557,072	1,808,660	1,251,589	414,165	837,423		
330908	MARQ-ADAMS TEL COP I	1,621	636,807	1,313,873	677,067	905,219	(228,152		
330909	MIDWAY TEL CO - WI	8,034	1,903,656	4,176,488	2,272,833	764,297	1,508,536		
330910	MILLTOWN MUT TEL CO	1,613	456,023	1,176,378	720,355	386,962	333,393		
330912	FRONTIER CM MONDOVI	2,710	572,902	1,187,349	614,447	389,682	224,765		
330913	CENTRUY MONROE CTY	10,736	1,926,622	5,476,923	3,550,301	2,248,723	1,301,578		
330914	EASTCOAST TELECOM	8,214 5,707	1,406,608	4,917,190	3,510,582	1,182,910	2,327,672		
330915 330916	MOSINEE TEL CO MOUNT HOREB TEL CO	5,707 3,561	994,873 894,635	2,474,362 1,678,165	1,479,489 783,530	904,603 865,121	574,886 (81,592		
330917	MOUNT VERNON TEL CO	7,111	1,838,888	3,272,458	1,433,571	610,460	823,110		
330918	NELSON TEL COOP	4,506	601,020	3,040,127	2,439,107	1,366,207	1,072,900		
330920	NIAGARA TEL CO	3,237	713,196	2,400,309	1,687,113	644,045	1,043,068		
330922	NW TEL CO DBA PTI CM	74,979	20,826,624	36,798,488	15,971,864	5,566,928	10,404,936		
330924	KENDALL TEL INC	838	166,037	622,655	456,618	87,576	369,042		
330925	BAYLAND TEL INC	1,818	490,877	1,260,345	769,468	416,949	352,518		
330930	GRANTLAND TELECOM	4,758	1,096,906	2,946,036	1,849,130	330,441	1,518,689		
330931	PEOPLES TEL CO - WI	7,217	1,591,429	4,396,764	2,805,335	1,420,050	1,385,284		

<sup>\*</sup> Support is developed on a wire center specific basis, and may not reflect differences between study area costs and revenues.

					mounts Based o		
NECA	Company	BCM2	Actual Local	BCM2 Local	BCM2 Support	Actual Support	Support
Code	Name	Lines	Revenue	Cost	Required*	Required (Att 2)	Difference
(A)	(B)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G=E-F)
			Wisconsin	-Continued			
330934	PLATTEVILLE DBA PTI	8,392	1,893,879	3,547,267	1,653,387	152,674	1,500,713
330936	INDIANHEAD TEL CO	1,794	316,814	1,614,739	1,297,925	728,807	569,118
330937	PRICE COUNTY TEL CO	3,430	778,450	2,463,835	1,685,385	1,300,334	385,051
330938	NORTHEAST TEL CO	9,577	1,268,412	5,493,085	4,224,673	930,234	3,294,439
330940	RHINELANDER TEL CO	12,107	2,930,433	5,757,468	2,827,035	1,603,385	1,223,650
330941	RIB LAKE TEL CO	2,621	333,692	2,030,886	1,697,194	356,789	1,340,405
330942	RICHL-GRANT TEL COOP	2.866	514,672	2,341,385	1.826.713	902,461	924.252
330943	RIVERSIDE TELECOM	3,430	808,921	1,845,828	1.036.907	243,686	793.221
330944	FRONTIER CM ST CROIX	6,564	954,240	3,119,828	2,165,589	1.665,597	499,992
330945	SCANDINAVIA TEL CO	2,007	423,365	1,820,045	1,396,680	725,218	671,462
330946	SHARON TEL CO - WI	966	327,383	675,195	347,812	176,052	171,761
330949	SIREN TEL CO INC	2.012	348,338	1,291,145	942.807	430,967	511,840
330950	CENTURY NW WISCONSIN	14,620	3,002,720	10,807,903	7,805,182	4.429.690	3,375,493
330951	SOMERSET TEL CO INC	2,709	326,292	1,612,432	1,286,140	416,102	870,038
330952	SOUTHEAST TEL CO WI	9,236	1,871,010	4,249,493	2,378,483	714,746	1,663,737
330953	SPRING VALLEY TEL CO	1,246	200,043	827,854	627.811	253,011	374,800
330954	STOCKB & SHER TEL CO	4,260	835,351	2,841,347	2,005,996	568,344	1,437,652
330955	STATE LONG DIS TELCO	8,642	2,322,951	3,354,531	1,031,580	699,785	331,795
330956	CENTURY NO WISCONSIN	13,506	2,756,580	11,757,184	9,000,605	4,370,754	4,629,850
330958	TENNEY TEL CO	445	228,337	340,541	112,204	261,250	(149,045
330959	THORP TEL DBA PTI CM	2,412	419,242	1,594,619	1,175,377	390,926	784,451
330960	TRI-COUNTY TEL COOP	5,316	731,760	3,771,504	3,039,744	1,265,999	1,773,746
330962	UNION TEL CO - WI	4,635	889,586	3,803,420	2,913,834	1,110,429	1,803,405
330963	UTELCO INC	15,953	3,682,928	7,312,645	3,629,717	1,460,918	2,168,799
330964	FRONTIER CM WISCON	21,884	4,847,049	12,035,093	7,188,045	2,060,099	5,127,945
330966	VERNON TEL COOP	6,211	1,116,710	4,565,031	3,448,320	1,710,741	1,737,579
330967	FRONTIER CM VIROQUA	4,124	743,453	1,771,411	1,027,958	808,512	219,446
330968	WAUNAKEE TEL CO	4,935	1,118,001	2,081,046	963,045	897,648	65,397
330970	WAYSIDE TEL CO	6,113	340,145	4,281,341	3,941,196	355,196	3,586,000
330971	WEST WI TEL COOP INC	6,619	1,099,402	4,057,886	2,958,484	1,484,204	1,474,279
330973	WITTENBERG TEL CO	1,758	398,082	1,546,951	1,148,869	439,969	708,900
330974	WOOD COUNTY TEL CO	29,767	7,820,301	11,668,060	3,847,760	600,270	3,247,490
335220	WISCONSIN TEL CO	2,121,284	564,338,588	682,147,146	127,130,879	167,687,150	(40,556,271)
	State Total	3,185,880	815,823,429	1,247,277,077	440,775,969	330,957,566	109,818,403

<sup>\*</sup> Support is developed on a wire center specific basis, and may not reflect differences between study area costs and revenues.

	Company Name	Annual Amounts Based on BCM2							
NECA Code		BCM2 Lines	Actual Local Revenue	BCM2 Local Cost	BCM2 Support Required*	Actual Support Required (Att 2)	Support Difference		
(A)	(B)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G=E-F)		
			West \	/irginia					
200256	ARMSTRONG TEL CO WV	2,733	742,735	1,628,574	885,839	376,004	509,836		
200257	SPRUCE KB SENECA RK	1,788	140,961	2,074,188	1,933,227	302,915	1,630,312		
200259	HARDY TEL CO	1,087	736,883	1,501,962	765,079	2,074,822	(1,309,743		
200267	ARMSTRONG TEL CO	6,560	872,108	5,015,740	4,143,633	1,211,999	2,931,633		
200270	MTN ST TEL DBA CITIZ	28,297	6,963,976	24,703,175	17,739,199	7,723,202	10,015,998		
200276	WAR TEL CO	1,878	482,476	1,766,675	1,284,199	145,345	1,138,854		
200277	WEST SIDE TEL CO	4,230	975,067	2,759,936	1,784,868	470,429	1,314,439		
204338	CITIZENS TELECOM WV	138,166	14,030,200	85,324,245	71,294,045	5,222,642	66,071,404		
204339	CITIZENS UTILIT CO	3,936	29,577,570	2,573,945	0	17,090,297	(17,090,297		
205050	BELL ATLANTIC WV INC	866,570	314,482,530	407,318,037	111,935,884	116,913,923	(4,978,039		
	State Total	1,055,245	369,004,506	534,666,478	211,765,974	151,531,578	60,234,396		

<sup>\*</sup> Support is developed on a wire center specific basis, and may not reflect differences between study area costs and revenues.

	1			Annual A	mounts Based o	n BCM2	
NECA Code	Company Name	BCM2 Lines	Actual Local Revenue	BCM2 Local Cost	BCM2 Support Required*	Actual Support Required (Att 2)	Support Difference
(A)	(B)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G=E-F)
			Wyo	ming			
511595	UNITED TELCO	8,297	2,132,001	5,204,321	3,072,320	1,616,814	1,455,506
512251	RANGE TEL COOP INC	2,079	421,300	2,460,203	2,038,903	1,210,176	828,728
512289	CHUGWATER TEL CO	322	44,024	601,132	557,107	151,313	405,794
512295	SILVER STAR TEL CO	1,290	519,927	1,020,234	500,307	1,037,817	(537,510)
512296	TRI-CO TEL ASSN INC	1,109	228,254	1,897,489	1,669,236	754,936	914,299
512297	UNION TEL CO - WY	5,973	2,157,355	5,837,813	3,680,457	1,856,188	1,824,269
512299	TEL UTILITIES OF WY	3,014	1,033,402	3,508,639	2,475,237	1,116,228	1,359,009
515108	US WEST MTN BELL	246,464	69,604,148	101,090,021	31,485,873	56,318,085	(24,832,212)
	State Total	268,549	76,140,411	121,619,851	45,479,440	64,061,557	(18,582,117)

<sup>\*</sup> Support is developed on a wire center specific basis, and may not reflect differences between study area costs and revenues.

## LOCAL EXCHANGE COST COMPARISON BELL OPERATING COMPANIES

		CC	STS PER I	INE PER M	
NECA CD	BOC Study Area Name	Actual	ВСМ	TELRIC	Hatfield
		044.70	<b>640.00</b>	<b>620 44</b>	<b>\$22.65</b>
105111	NYNEX-MAINE	\$41.78	\$40.98	\$30.14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
115112	NYNEX-MASSACHUSETTS	\$35.78	\$48.43	\$21.28	\$16.49
125113	NYNEX-NEW HAMPSHIRE	\$40.62	\$36.59	\$27.45	\$21.57
135200	SNET-CONNECTICUT	\$45.03	\$28.89	\$24.68	\$17.96
145115	NYNEX-VERMONT	\$47.47	\$41.04	\$31.58	\$24.48
155130	NYNEX-NEW YORK	\$46.49	\$23.62	\$23.20	\$16.22
165120	BELL ATLANTIC-NEW JERSEY	\$32.15	\$24.25	\$23.92	\$15.27
175000	BELL ATLANTIC-PENNSYLVANIA	\$33.82	\$25.58	\$23.75	\$16.59
185030	BELL ATLANTIC-MARYLAND	\$36.66	\$25.63	\$24.81	\$16.65
195040	BELL ATLANTIC-VIRGINIA	\$37.43	\$27.02	\$25.58	\$17.06
205050	BELL ATLANTIC-WEST VIRGINIA	\$47.81	\$39.17	\$30.70	\$25.74
215191	SOUTHERN BELL - FLORIDA	\$43.01	\$27.91	\$25.13	\$16.14
225192	SOUTHERN BELL - GEORGIA	\$44.86	\$28.79	\$27.54	\$18.80
235193	SOUTHERN BELL - NORTH CAROLINA	\$43.58	\$30.16	\$28.16	\$18.32
245194	SOUTHERN BELL - SOUTH CAROLINA	\$48.28	\$31.91	\$28.52	\$20.42
255181	SOUTH CENTRAL BELL - ALABAMA	\$42.94	\$32.49	\$28.70	\$22.38
265182	SOUTH CENTRAL BELL - KENTUCKY -	\$41.87	\$34.38	\$28.15	\$22.10
275183	SOUTH CENTRAL BELL - LOUISIANA	\$43.09	\$32.41	\$28.43	\$19.79
285184	SOUTH CENTRAL BELL - MISSISSIPPI	\$49.31	\$38.44	\$33.42	\$27.05
295185	SOUTH CENTRAL BELL - TENNESSEE	\$41.42	\$31.59	\$28.86	\$20.22
305150	OHIO BELL	\$35.40	\$27.28	\$27.18	\$16.87
315090	AMERITECH-MICHIGAN BELL	\$33.68	\$28.92	\$26.72	\$17.36
325080	AMERITECH-INDIANA BELL	\$33.10	\$28.77	\$24.74	\$17.32
335220	WISCONSIN BELL	\$31.92	<b>\$26</b> .80	\$27.39	<b>\$15.69</b>
345070	AMERITECH-ILLINOIS BELL	\$30.11	\$24.64	\$24.57	\$15.78
355141	NORTHWESTERN BELL-IOWA	\$28.56	\$28.98	\$27.39	\$19.86
365142	NORTHWESTERN BELL-MINNESOTA	\$34.09	\$27.27	\$26.26	\$18.75
375143	NORTHWESTERN BELL-NEBRASKA	\$46.95	\$29.16	\$29.50	\$28.05
385144	NORTHWESTERN BELL-NORTH DAKOTA	\$34.14	\$31.61	\$36.81	\$19.76
395145	NORTHWESTERN BELL-SOUTH DAKOTA	\$35.62	\$38.01	\$36.78	\$20.93
405211	SOUTHWESTERN BELL TEL CO-ARKANSAS	\$41.95	\$34.24	\$32.63	\$22.20
415214	SOUTHWESTERN BELL TEL CO-KANSAS	\$37.37	\$28.28	\$31.30	\$21.02
425213	SOUTHWESTERN BELL TEL COMISSOURI	\$39.95	\$25.70	\$29.77	\$18.74
435215	SOUTHWESTERN BELL TEL CO-OKLAHOMA	\$38.67	\$28.02	\$29.08	\$21.32
445216	SOUTHWESTERN BELL TEL CO-TEXAS	\$40.48	\$24.92	\$26.94	\$16.76

### LOCAL EXCHANGE COST COMPARISON BELL OPERATING COMPANIES

		COSTS PER LINE PER MONTH				
NECA CD	BOC Study Area Name	Actual	ВСМ	TELRIC	Hatfield	
455101	MOUNTAIN BELL- ARIZONA	\$36.85	\$29.51	\$24.30	\$20.58	
465102	MOUNTAIN BELL - COLORADO	\$40.75	\$29.32	\$26.42	<b>\$23.25</b>	
475103	MOUNTAIN BELL - IDAHO	\$32.50	\$33.94	\$31.61	<b>\$22</b> .72	
485104	MOUNTAIN BELL - MONTANA	\$38.09	\$33.09	\$36.63	<b>\$26</b> .56	
495105	MOUNTAIN BELL - NEW MEXICO	\$40.36	\$32.66	\$30.11	\$23.39	
505107	MOUNTAIN BELL - UTAH	\$33.42	\$28.82	\$26.57	\$21.35	
515108	MOUNTAIN BELL - WYOMING	\$44.52	\$34.18	\$36.56	\$30.26	
525161	PACIFIC NORTHWESTERN BELL-WASHINGTO	\$35.83	\$26.16	\$24.82	\$17.29	
535163	PACIFIC NORTHWESTERN BELL-OREGON	\$35.80	\$29.04	\$26.89	\$19.31	
545170	PACIFIC BELL-CALIFORNIA	\$32.84	\$23.97	\$22.55	\$15.08	
555173	NEVADA BELL	\$36.12	\$34.19	\$30.40	\$26.34	
565010	BELL ATLANTIC - DELAWARE	\$30.81	\$28.28	\$23.14	\$17.21	
575020	C&P TEL CO - WASHINGTON, DC	\$34.64	\$16.76	\$22.26	\$13.21	
585114	NYNEX-RHODE ISLAND	\$32.99	\$27.89	\$22.93	\$16.59	

SOURCES: Actual - Estimated from 1993 publically available data filed by BOCs. Costs include all loop costs, local portion of switching, and local exchange interoffice costs.

**BCM - Benchmark Cost Model 2** 

Hatfield - Hatfield - Version 2.2, Release 2, "Cost of Network Elements" Worksheet.

FCC TELRIC - FCC Interconnection Report and Order, CC Docket Nos., 96-98 and 95-185. Local exchange costs consist of:

- Loop Costs (Appendix D, State Proxy Ceilings For The Local Loop)
- Switching Costs Assume 2,000 MOU Per Line @ .003 per MOU (\$.003 represents average of proxy range of \$.002 to \$.004 defined in Appendix B, Final Rules, Table A.)
- Transport proxy estimate @ \$2.00 per line (SWBT Estimate)
- Cross-Connect @1.90 per line (SWBT Estimate)
- Switching Port Charge @ \$1.55 Per Line (average of proxy range of \$1.10 to \$2.00 defined in 9/27/96 FCC Order On Reconsideration.

# BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

Transport Rate Structure and Pricing

CC Docket No. 91-213

TO THE COMMISSION

### COMMENTS OF SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

JAMES E. TAYLOR RICHARD C. HARTGROVE THOMAS A. PAJDA BRUCE E. BEARD

> 1010 Pine Street Room 2114 St. Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 235-2507

ATTORNEYS FOR SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

February 1, 1993

#### CC Docket No. 91-213

## COMMENTS OF SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

### Table of Contents

Sub	<u>iect E</u>	<u>leading</u>	Page				
	Summ	nary	i				
I.	INTE	RODUCTION	1				
	A.	Background	1				
	В.	A Comprehensive Review of the Access Charge Rules Must Be Completed and Interstate Access Reform Implemented Prior to or Along With Switched Access Expanded Interconnection.	4				
II.	CHAN	CHANGES TO THE INTERIM RATE STRUCTURE ARE NECESSARY					
	Α.	A Transport Rate Structure That Includes Both a Flat-Rate and a Per-Minute Rate For Tandem-Switched Transport Should be Adopted.	8				
	В.	The Dedicated Component of Tandem-Switched Transport Should be Measured From the SWC to the Tandem Switch, With the Common Transport Component Measured From the Tandem to the End Office.	10				
	c.	Multiplexing (MUX) Costs Should Not Be Included In The Tandem Charge.	11				
	D.	LECs Must Be Afforded Pricing Flexibility in Any Rate Structure.	12				
	E.	Locating SWCs With Tandems Should Not Be Required.	13				
	F.	Concerns Over Tandem Placement Decisions Are Unwarranted.	13				
	G.	Interconnection at the Tandem Appears to be Technically/Physically Possible Under the Comptel Plan, However, Additional Charges are Necessary to Recover the Cost.	17				

III.		LATION OF LEC RATE LEVELS MUST ALLOW LECS TO ETE FAIRLY	19
	A.	Only True Competition Will Drive Prices Toward Direct Cost.	19
	В.	The Commission's Decision to Segment the Transport Market into Zones Must be Expanded.	22
	c.	LECs Must Be Allowed to Implement Volume and Term Discounts for Both Direct Trunked and Tandem-Switched Transport Rates.	23
	D.	Existing DS3-to-DS1 Rates Are Reasonable and the Use of Such Special Access Rates is Proper.	24
	E.	The Type of Tandem-Switched Transport Rate Structure SWBT Originally Proposed in This Docket is the Most Economically Efficient and Appropriate.	28
	F.	Tandem-Switching Cost Recovery.	29
IV.	ANAL	YSIS AND QUANTIFICATION OF THE INTERCONNECTION GE	30
	A.	The IC is an Integral Part of the Interim Rate Structure and Should be Maintained Until Appropriate Solutions Are Implemented.	30
	В.	The IC Reflects the Recovery of Legitimate Costs Booked to Part 32 Accounts and Allocated to Interstate Transport by Parts 36 and 69.	32
		1. LECs Must Assign Costs to Transport in Accord With the FCC Part 32, 36 and 69 Rules.	32
		<ol> <li>Costs Assigned to the IC and to Transport By SWBT Are Legitimate and Necessary For the Provision of Transport Services to All Markets Within SWBT's Study Areas.</li> </ol>	33
		3. Price Cap Transport Equal Charge Rates Were Initiated Based on These Legitimate Cost Assignments. These Rates Provided an Average Level of Contribution to Cover Part 36 and 69 Costs Allocated to Transport Irrespective of Technologies, Markets, etc., and Masked Support Flows From Low Cost Per Minute Transport Service Areas to High Cost Transport Service Areas. The New Lower Interim Rates Effectively Eliminate That Support Flow and Transfer it to the IC.	35

c.	The Size and Composition of the Interconnection Charge Reflects a Significant Loss of Contribution to Low Volume Market Areas, Indirectly Allocated Costs and Low Volume Tandem Costs.							
	1.	Lost Contribution From High Volume/Low Cost Areas to Offset Costs Associated With Serving Low Volume/High Cost Areas.						
		a.	Trans	gnificant Share of SWBT's sport Routes and Costs Are ted to Providing Connectivity ural and Low Volume Service Areas.	39			
		b.	Analysis of the Costs to Provide Service to Rural and Low Volume Service Areas Shows That Revenues Necessary to Provide Service Are Lost Under the Interim Rates and Transferred to the IC.					
			(1)	Costs Are Substantially Higher In Low Volume Service Areas - Attachment 7.	41			
			(2)	Equal Charge Average Rates Caused Significant Contribution to Flow From Low Cost Per Minute Service Areas to Higher Cost Per Minute Service Area - Attachments 8 through 11.	42			
			(3)	Substantial Contribution Which is Necessary to Provide Service to Higher Cost Service Areas is Lost Under the Interim Rates and Transferred to the IC - Attachments 8 through 12.	44			
		c.	Between As We Inves	36 and 69 Defined Cost Differences en Special Access and Transport, ell As, Devaluation of Transport etment Under the Interim Rates ibute to the Size of the IC.	45			
	2.	Costs	Acco	ribution to Common and Overhead ounts For \$56 Million of the ection Charge.	47			

3. Lost Contribution to Tandem Switching Costs. 48

		4.	Alloc In Li	ations water	Which Ma the Inte	erim Tran	on or appropriat asport Rate allion of	e	51
			a.	General	Support	t Facilit	ies.		51
			b.		location	Inapprop ns Contri			52
٧.	SOLUTIONS TO THE INTERCONNECTION CHARGE RECOVERY DILEMMA							55	
	A.			The Mos			Efficient		56
	В.			-5 - More		y and Comister.	plex		60
	c.					le Option n to the			65
VI.	OTHER ISSUES								66
	A.	A. The Costs in the Interconnection Charge Are Traffic Sensitive Based on the Current Cost Allocation Rules of FCC Part 36 and 69.						66	
	В.	Incre	eased		and ar	Result i Increas			68
	c.	Cap c	of the	IC Reve	enues.				70
	D.					on of the Unneces			71
VII.	THE PROPOSED PRICE CAP BASKETS ARE INADEQUATE TO MEET THE DEMANDS OF A COMPETITIVE ENVIRONMENT						72		
vIII.	CONCLUSION						77		

#### SUMMARY\*

If the Commission's goals in this proceeding are to be met, LECs must be given the opportunity, as the Commission pursues its expanded interconnection agenda, to compete without restrictive rate structures and regulatory handicaps on pricing. The equal charge rule was not adopted in and is not suited for a competitive environment. The changes to the equal charge rule adopted in the interim rate structure are far too minor to allow the LECs to compete effectively, especially given the Commission's plans for expanded interconnection. The implementation of expanded interconnection should not precede the adoption of the long term rate structure, which should be developed as part of a comprehensive review of the access charge plan.

Changes to the interim rate structure are necessary in the long term structure. A tandem-switched transport structure that includes both a flat rate and a per minute rate should be adopted with the end-to-end structure remaining as a service option. LECs must be afforded pricing flexibility under any rate structure adopted and should be given the same freedom to offer term and volume discounts that competitors enjoy. LECs should not be required to establish the tandem as a surrogate SWC. Concerns regarding the placement of tandems are also unwarranted.

The regulation of LEC rate levels must allow the LECs to compete fairly because only true competition will drive prices toward direct costs. Thus, LECs must be given pricing flexibility.

All abbreviations used herein are referenced within the text.

The Commission's proposal to allow pricing flexibility by segmenting the switched access market into zones does not go far enough because the overall weighted average switched transport rates for all zones can only decline by 5% without triggering extensive regulatory review. SWBT believes that the tandem-switched-transport rate structure it originally proposed in this proceeding is the most economically efficient and appropriate to meet the Commission's goals.

The costs recovered through the IC in the interim rate structure are legitimate costs of providing transport service. The reduction or elimination of the IC should only be accomplished after a comprehensive review of the access rules have been completed and a solution is adopted which serves the interests of all parties and factors affected by the IC, including the interest of the end user customer in low volume/high cost areas. Several options are presented for resolving the IC dilemma. SWBT recommends that the Commission pursue Option 1 which involves Part 69 cost allocation changes and implementation of economically efficient pricing policies.

Because the costs underlying the IC are allocated based on usage they are appropriately recovered by a traffic sensitive rate element, the IC charge should not be reduced or capped.

The price cap baskets proposed in the FNPRM are inadequate to meet the demands of a competitive environment. There should be a restructuring of the price cap baskets consistent with the overall restructuring of access service.

# BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	٠	)		
Transport Rate Structure		)	CC Docket No	. 91-213

### COMMENTS OF SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (SWBT), by its attorneys hereby files its comments pursuant to the Report and Order (Interim Transport Order) and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM) in this proceeding. These comments show that substantial changes to the interim transport rate structure adopted in the Interim Transport Order are necessary to meet the Commission's long-term goals in this docket. To achieve the Commission's long term goals in this proceeding the rate structure adopted must allow the LECs the pricing flexibility needed to respond to competition.

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

#### A. Background

In 1984 the Commission began consideration of how its rules should be coordinated with the Modification of Final Judgement's (MFJ's) "equal charge per unit of traffic" requirement. On February 27, 1985, the Commission indefinitely extended a waiver of the Part 69 rules to permit the Regional Bell Operating Companies (RBOCs) to comply with the MFJ's "equal charge" mandate.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Transport Rate Structure and Pricing, 7 FCC Rcd 7006 (1992).

MTS and WATS Market Structure, CC Docket No. 78-72, Phase I, Memorandum Opinion and Order, (FCC 85-87) 50 Fed. Reg. 9633 (1985).

In January, 1991 the Commission issued a Request for Information inviting comments on competitive, technological and regulatory developments in the telecommunications industry since 1984 in order to determine what should be done upon expiration of the MFJ equal charge requirement.<sup>3</sup> Following extensive comments, the Commission proposed a more cost-based transport rate structure and pricing plan but required local exchange carriers (LECs) to maintain the equal charge rate structure pending further agency action.<sup>4</sup>

In September, 1992 the Commission adopted the <u>Interim</u> <u>Transport Order</u> establishing an interim switched transport rate structure and pricing plan to be effective for two years beginning November 1, 1993. The Commission also issued its <u>FNPRM</u> to determine what the long term rate structure should be beginning November, 1995.

At the same time the Commission released a Second Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (SNPRM) in CC Docket No. 91-141 initiating two phases of investigation into switched access expanded interconnection issues. In Phase I, the Commission proposes that Tier 1 LECs offer expanded interconnection for switched access services. Such interconnection would allow competitors and end-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> MTS and WATS Market Structure, CC Docket No. 78-72, Phase I, Request for Information to Supplement the Record, 6 FCC Rcd. 594 (1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Transport Rate Structure and Pricing, CC Docket No. 91-213, Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 6 FCC Rcd. 5341 (1991).

Expanded Interconnection with Local Telephone Company Facilities, CC Docket No. 91-141, Phases I & II, Second Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, released October 16, 1992.

users to offer switched transport between LEC central offices, including tandem offices, and interexchange carriers' points of presence (POPs). This would allow interconnection directly with LEC switches, subscriber lines and other portions of the LEC switched transport network. Additionally, the Commission seeks comments on the appropriate pricing flexibilities to be extended concurrent with expanded interconnection for switched access services.

In Phase II, the Commission, responding to the interest of parties in providing switching facilities that directly compete with the functions currently offered by LEC tandem switches, proposed eliminating any barriers precluding such ability. This form of switched access competition will further the creation of a "network of networks," whereby the switched networks of LECs and others will not only interconnect with one another, but will also compete with one another. The current phases of this proceeding are scheduled to be completed by September, 1993, and to be implemented concurrent with the implementation of the interim transport rate structure. This results in an interim rate structure with no interim time period.

The interim rate structure gives considerable weight to the concerns of medium and small sized interexchange carriers (IXCs) which claim that their networks would be at a competitive disadvantage unless the judicially mandated equal charge regime is continued. However, competition and the advent of interconnection for switched transport makes the interim transport structure inadequate.

As noted by the Commission, "the rate structure and pricing of switched transport are the keystone of a regulatory structure designed to promote competition for interstate switched transport and interexchange service and to encourage efficient use of the access network, thereby promoting economic investment and innovation". Thus, it is critical that the new long term rate structure accurately reflects LEC transport rates and costs. The long term rate structure must also allow the LECs pricing flexibility to respond to competition. Substantial changes are needed in the interim transport structure to meet the Commission's long term goals in this proceeding and to allow the LECs to compete without handicaps as the Commission pursues its expanded interconnection policies. Such changes must be incorporated in the long term rate structure.

B. A Comprehensive Review of the Access Charge Rules Must Be Completed and Interstate Access Reform Implemented Prior to or Along With Switched Access Expanded Interconnection.

In light of the complexities of the issues involved in the access arena, a comprehensive access review proceeding should be opened promptly. This review could be conducted in parallel with the more narrowly focused proceedings already underway, without delaying the adoption of interim measures in those proceedings. Interstate access reform must focus on providing structural flexibility, pricing flexibility and the continued support of public policy obligations. SWBT and other service

<sup>6</sup> Interim Transport Order, at para. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> <u>Id</u>.

providers must be able to utilize these flexibilities freely in order to meet customer needs.

Structural flexibility would be achieved by limiting Part 69 rate structure codification to a Public Policy access category for all LECs. In addition, the current price cap baskets would be restructured.

Pricing flexibility would be achieved by matching regulatory oversight to the degree of competitiveness in individual market areas. Behavioral criteria regarding customers' ability and willingness to shift their demand would be utilized to demonstrate the competitive nature of the market area.

Public policy support obligations must be reconciled with procompetitive policy objectives. The Commission's goal of promoting the growth of competition in the marketplace may undermine its established goal of promoting universal service. The basic goals encompassed in the Unity 1-A Agreement must continue. SWET believes minimal changes are needed to existing support mechanisms, suggests the need to evaluate additional explicit support mechanisms, and suggests reforms in the current depreciation process. SWET believes that all service providers, including IXCs and Competitive Access Providers (CAPs), should assist in the recovery of universal service costs.

The current elements codified in Part 69 are reflective of service applications (e.g., switched access or special access). The access rate structure defined within Part 69 should instead be based on access categories. An access category is a general classification into which access functionalities (e.g., transport,

switching, etc.) may be logically grouped. The number of codified access categories would be limited to four. A flexible access structure, as described herein, would facilitate the introduction of new services and technologies.

A codified Public Policy access category, applicable to price cap and non-price cap LECs, could include: Lifeline Assistance, Universal Service Fund (<u>USF</u>), End User Common Line (<u>EUCL</u>) Charge, Carrier Common Line (<u>CCL</u>) (or a substitute recovery mechanism), Long Term Support, Interconnection Charge (<u>IC</u>), and any other elements established for public policy purposes. The Commission would determine the elements assigned to and codified within the Public Policy category.

Three additional Part 69 access categories, applicable to non-price cap LECs, would also be codified: Switching, Transport and Other. Non-price cap LECs would be able to establish individual rate elements below the access category level on a non-codified basis.

For price cap LECs, only common line elements within the Public Policy access category would require cost allocations. For non-price cap companies, all four access categories would require cost allocations to develop the appropriate revenue requirements. With the exception of Public Policy rate elements, no other rate

The funding mechanisms for Lifeline and USF are detailed in Part 36.

<sup>9</sup> Common line costs would be recovered through elements in the Public Policy category. While the EUCL element would be codified and the revenue target for all common line would be calculated under a specified formula, LECs should be able to propose new rate elements to recover revenues currently recovered through the CCL charge.